Update in
Anaesthesia

Occupational Risks, Safety, and Well-Being Among Anaesthesiologists

Rebecca McGoldrick†
†Correspondence email: rebamcgoldrick@gmail.com

Although the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic called attention to the health risks anaesthesiologists face while caring for patients, occupational stressors were not novel to the profession. Biological and chemical hazards have been a well-known risk factor for health care workers for decades. More recently, technological advancements in health care have expanded anaesthesiologists' roles and introduced numerous potential health risks within their work environment.[1] The World Health Organization has long recognized the importance of occupational health, emphasizing the need for promoting and maintaining the highest standards of well-being among workers.[2] For anaesthesiologists, this means ensuring that their work environment is adapted to protect their physical and mental capacities, safeguarding their health while they carry out their vital responsibilities. Addressing these occupational health concerns is critical not only for the well-being of anaesthesiologists but also for the quality of care they provide to patients, as poor health among health care workers has been linked to negative patient outcomes and increased medical errors.[3] As more attention to health care worker wellness grows, it becomes imperative to prioritize the safety and well-being of anaesthesiologists to maintain the quality and effectiveness of patient care.

BIOLOGICAL HAZARDS

Anaesthesiologists are particularly susceptible to a range of pathogens due to their close patient interactions within the hospital. The procedures regularly performed (intubation, extubation, and bronchoscopy) require close proximity to patients' airways, increasing exposure to airborne viruses like influenza, respiratory syncytial virus, coronavirus disease 2019, and tuberculosis. The pathogens are frequently encountered and risk levels vary, depending on the specific hospital environment, but the potential for pathogen transmission is always present due to direct patient contact. This emphasizes the need for rigorous infection control measures to protect health care workers during these procedures.

Beyond airborne risks, anaesthesiologists are also at significant risk of exposure to bloodborne viruses. The placement of central and arterial lines, insertion of peripheral intravenous lines, and administration of regional and neuraxial anaesthesia all carry the risk of needlestick injuries. These injuries expose the worker to serious bloodborne pathogens such as hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and human immunodeficiency virus. Approximately 25% of needlestick injuries occur in operating rooms, with fatigue and emergency situations, both common to the field, playing a significant role.[4-7] The increased risk of pathogen transmission highlights the critical importance for hospitals to provide adequate personal protective equipment, including gloves, N95 masks, eye protection, and gowns, and ensure the safe handling and disposal of sharp instruments.[8,9] Protective measures, such as the use of closed-loop circuits in operating rooms and intensive care units, the proper sterilization of equipment, and the isolation of bodily fluids, are also vital in reducing exposure risks. Additionally, investing in safety-engineered needles and ensuring that health care workers are immunized against common pathogens when applicable, are key components of a safe working environment.[8,9]

When exposure to bloodborne pathogens does occur, prompt postexposure interventions are crucial. Immediate decontamination of the affected area with soap and water, followed by the timely administration of antiviral medications if needed, can significantly lower the risk of infection. Hospitals should have postexposure protocols that include guidance from infection prevention teams whenever exposure is suspected, with prophylaxis and follow-up as needed.[8,9] This proactive approach, coupled with adherence to established safety protocols, is essential for minimizing the risks associated with the pathogens anaesthesiologists are exposed to in their daily practice.

CHEMICAL HAZARDS

Exposure to chemical agents in the operating room, particularly anaesthetic gases, poses potentially significant health risks to anaesthesiologists. Although some research indicates no significant health impacts from prolonged

Rebecca McGoldrick *MD*
Kaiser Permanente, Santa Rosa, CA, USA

© World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists 2025. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists, 39-41 North Road London N7 9DP, UK.

exposure to low concentrations of waste anaesthetic gases, other analyses suggest otherwise. Some studies link chronic exposure to genetic damage and cancer and an increased risk of miscarriages among operating room personnel. Additional studies have documented miscarriages in the spouses of exposed workers and congenital anomalies in their offspring.[10,11] Even short-term contact with these anaesthetic agents has resulted in headaches, nausea, fatigue, drowsiness, and impaired cognitive ability among health care providers.[12] Inadequate scavenging systems and poor operating room ventilation exacerbate these dangers, with common sources of contamination including equipment malfunctions, improper usage of masks, and leaks in low-pressure circuits. Some government agencies have recommendations for the maximum allowable dosage; however, these guidelines are infrequently monitored.[13] A 2013 survey revealed that a significant proportion of anaesthesiologists continue to experience inadequate ventilation in their work environments.[14]

Other potentially hazardous materials encountered in the work environment are surgical smoke, latex, cleaning solutions, and bone cement. Factors such as agent concentration, respiratory index, toxicity, individual susceptibility, and exposure duration contribute to overall risk.[15] The risk associated with these chemical agents as well as the lack of consistent monitoring, highlights the need to adhere to safety measures, mitigating acute and chronic exposure in the clinical environment.

RADIATION HAZARDS

With advances in technology, radiation exposure in the operating room has become a significant occupational hazard for anaesthesiologists, requiring meticulous attention to both ionizing and nonionizing radiation sources.

Ionizing radiation, typically from fluoroscopic imaging, poses a considerable risk, particularly during neurointerventional and endovascular procedures. Anaesthesiologists are disproportionately exposed to radiation, with studies indicating that they encounter 3 times more radiation than the proceduralist during neurointerventional procedures.[16] This elevated exposure heightens the risk of serious health issues, including various cancers, cataracts, and birth defects.[17-19] Despite these dangers, there is a concerning lack of stringent monitoring and regulation regarding radiation exposure, as most hospitals do not mandate regular dosimeter usage or cumulative exposure tracking for anaesthesiologists, leaving them vulnerable to long-term radiation-related health complications.[17]

Nonionizing radiation, primarily from the use of lasers in surgical procedures, presents a different but equally significant set of risks. Class IV lasers, commonly used in operating rooms, are particularly hazardous to the eyes and skin. Unlike ionizing radiation, the risk from nonionizing radiation does not diminish with distance, making the consistent use of specialized goggles essential. Exposure to laser radiation can result in severe ocular injuries, including corneal and retinal burns, macular damage, and cataract formation. Additionally, the inhalation of carcinogenic substances and infectious particles from surgical smoke, along with the potential for fires in the presence of oxygen and nitrous oxide, further complicates safety in environments where lasers are used.[17]

To mitigate these risks, a comprehensive approach to radiation protection is necessary. For ionizing radiation, this includes minimizing unnecessary imaging procedures, ensuring the consistent use of personal protective equipment such as lead aprons and thyroid shields, and maintaining a safe distance from radiation sources. The regular use of dosimeters to monitor cumulative exposure is useful, with monthly analyses to track and manage radiation levels. In the context of nonionizing radiation, protective goggles should be worn at all times during laser procedures, and appropriate signage should be used to limit unnecessary access to laser operation areas, thereby reducing the risk of accidental exposure. Minimizing flammable gases during laser usage is also crucial to prevent fire hazards and ensure a safe working environment.[17]

PHYSICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH

Fatigue

Anaesthesiologists frequently contend with irregular schedules from long working hours, night shifts, and weekend duties, all of which contribute to significant sleep disturbances. The need to remain alert for extended periods, especially during critical procedures, exacerbates fatigue, impairing cognitive function and decision-making abilities. Such conditions not only pose risks to the health and well-being of the anaesthesiologist but also threaten patient safety, making the management of sleep and work schedules a crucial concern in the field.[20]

Stress

The emotional strain experienced by anaesthesiologists is another significant factor contributing to occupational stress. Regular exposure to pain, suffering, and death in their daily work places a considerable emotional burden. This strain is further compounded by the need to work under intense time pressures, often to optimize the use of operating rooms. The high complexity of the work environment, combined with external political, economic, and social pressures, adds to the stress load. As fatigue and emotional exhaustion have become central topics in recent literature, this emphasizes the need for interventions that address both the physical and emotional demands placed on anesthesiologists.[21]

Burnout

Burnout syndrome represents a critical issue within anaesthesiology, characterized by professional demands surpassing the individual's physical and psychological resources.[22] Burnout is often precipitated by excessive workloads, lack of professional recognition, conflicts within teams, and overwhelming bureaucratic demands.[23] The nature of anaesthesiology, which encourages high levels of responsibility, idealism, and perfectionism, can leave practitioners particularly vulnerable to feelings of inadequacy when these high standards are not met. The repercussions of burnout are severe, leading to a range of health issues, including physical ailments, depression, substance abuse, and an increased risk of medical errors, malpractice and complaint.[3,23] In extreme cases, burnout can contribute to suicidal ideation, highlighting the urgent need for effective preventive measures and support systems.[23]

Substance Abuse

Anaesthesiologists make up a disproportionate percentage of physicians seeking treatment for drug dependence and addiction.[24] The demanding nature of the job, long hours, high acuity, personal and family issues, and easy access to sedative and psychoactive drugs places anaesthesia providers at a heightened risk for substance abuse.[25] Given the potential consequences for both the anaesthesiologist and the patient, it is imperative that health care organizations establish universal protocols to plan safe interventions that facilitate early confession, provide rehabilitation opportunities, and promote the safe practice of anaesthesiology.

Suicide

Finally, the high rates of suicide among anaesthesiologists underscore the urgent need for comprehensive mental health support within the profession. Studies have shown that anaesthesiologists face significantly higher suicide rates than the general population, with depression and substance abuse being major contributing factors.[26,27] A concerted effort by institutions to ensure equitable work distribution, rest periods, and a fair work load can significantly reduce stress levels. Encouraging coping mechanisms, open communication with colleagues and loved ones, hobbies outside of work, and regular exercise and sleep schedules, together with a supportive work environment can provide the anaesthesiologist with better mental health resources in times of crisis.

CONCLUSION

The practice of safe anaesthesia is an essential and rewarding profession; however, the occupational health of the anaesthesiologist plays a critical role in ensuring patient safety. As anaesthesiologists face numerous risks to their health, institutions must prioritize programs to ensure the well-being of their staff, fostering a sustainable health care workforce and protecting high-quality care.

REFERENCES

1. Bajwa SJ, Kaur J. Risk and safety concerns in anesthesiology practice: the present perspective. *Anesth Essays Res*. 2012;**6**(1):14–20.
2. World Health Organization. Caring for those who care guide for the development and implementation of occupational health and safety programmes for health workers. 2022.
3. Hall LH, Johnson J, Watt I, Tsipa A, O'Connor DB. Healthcare staff wellbeing, burnout, and patient safety: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;**11**(7): e0159015. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159015
4. Waljee JF, Malay S, Chung KC. Sharps injuries: the risks and relevance to plastic surgeons. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2013;**131**(4):784–791.
5. Ashat M, Bhatia V, Puri S, Thakare M, Koushal V. Needle stick injury and HIV risk among health care workers in North India. *Indian J Med Sci*. 2011;**65**(9):371–378.
6. Fisman DN, Harris AD, Rubin M, et al. Fatigue increases the risk of injury from sharp devices in medical trainees: results from a case-crossover study. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2007; **28**(1):10–17.
7. Ayas NT, Barger LK, Cade BE, et al. Extended work duration and the risk of self-reported percutaneous injuries in interns. *JAMA*. 2006;**296**(9):1055–1062.
8. Pires OC. Sharps injuries: guidance for the anesthesiologist. In: Dival Neto GF, ed. *Occupational Well-Being in Anesthesiologists: Update 2018*. World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists; 2018:253–255.
9. Carniero AF. Biological occupational risks in anesthesiology. In: Dival Neto GF, ed. *Occupational Well-Being in Anesthesiologists: Update 2018*. World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists; 2018:222–227.
10. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). *Waste Anesthetic Gases: Occupational Exposure Guidelines (DHHS Publication No. 2007-151)*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2007.
11. Masselink T, Hardinger J, Bowman-Dalley C, et al. Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists' occupational exposure to inhalational anesthetic agents: a survey of anesthetic gas safety. *BMC Anesthesiol*. 2022;**22**(1):375.
12. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. *Waste Anesthetic Gases: Occupational Exposure Guidelines*. U.S. Department of Labor; 2000.
13. Tardelli MA, Oliveira CRD, Magalhães E. Exposure to inhaled anesthetics. In: Dival Neto GF, ed. *Occupational Well-Being in Anesthesiologists: Update 2018*. World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists; 2018:232–241.
14. Ayoğlu H, Ayoğlu FN. Occupational risks for anaesthesiologists and precautions. *Turk J Anaesthesiol Reanim*. 2021;**49**(2):93–99. doi:10.5152/TJAR.2020.219
15. Nunes RR, Farias CGL. Exposure to chemical agents. In: Dival Neto GF, ed. *Occupational Well-Being in Anesthesiologists: Update 2018*. World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists; 2018:243–252.
16. Anastasian ZH, Strozyk D, Meyers PM, Wang S, Berman MF. Radiation exposure of the anesthesiologist in the neurointerventional suite. *Anesthesiology*. 2011;**114**(3):512–520. doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e31820c2b81
17. Carneiro AF, Neto OA. Radioprotection for anesthesiologists. In: Dival Neto GF, ed. *Occupational Well-Being in Anesthesiologists: Update 2018*. World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists; 2018:193–199.
18. Picano E, Vano E, Domenici L, Bottai M, Thierry-Chef I. Cancer and non-cancer brain and eye effects of chronic low-dose ionizing radiation exposure. *BMC Cancer*. 2012;**12**:157. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-12-157
19. Chou LB, Johnson B, Shapiro LM, et al. Increased prevalence of breast and all-cause cancer in female orthopaedic surgeons. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg Glob Res Rev*. 2022;**6**(5):e22.00031. doi:10.5435/JAAOSGlobal-D-22-00031
20. Ippolito M, Einav S, Giarratano A, Cortegiani A. Effects of fatigue on anaesthetist well-being and patient safety: a narrative review. *Br J Anaesth*. 2024;**133**(1):111–117. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2024.03.017
21. Sig-Tú FV. The stress caused by medical emergencies: fatigue and its correlation with diseases, suicide, and medical malpractice. In: Dival Neto GF, ed. *Occupational Well-Being in Anesthesiologists: Update 2018*. World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists; 2018:37–57.
22. Maslach C, Leiter MP. Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*. 2016;**15**(2):103–111. doi:10.1002/wps.20311
23. Torchiaro GC. Evaluation of anesthesiologists' occupational well-being around the world. In: Dival Neto GF, ed. *Occupational Well-Being in Anesthesiologists: Update 2018*. World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists; 2018:15–36.
24. Gallegos KV, Browne CH, Veit FW, Talbott GD. Addiction in anaesthesiologists: drug access and patterns of substance abuse. *QRB Qual Rev Bull*. 1988;**14**(4):116–122.
25. DeFord S, Bonom J, Durbin T. A review of literature on substance abuse among anaesthesia providers. *J Res Nurs*. 2019;**24**(8):587–600. doi:10.1177/1744987119827353
26. Zimmermann C, Strohmaier S, Herkner H, Niederkrotenthaler T, Schernhammer E. Suicide rates among physicians compared with the general population in studies from 20 countries: gender stratified systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2024;**386**:e078964. doi:10.1136/bmj-2023-078964
27. Schernhammer ES, Colditz GA. Suicide rates among physicians: a quantitative and gender assessment (meta-analysis). *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004;**161**(12):2295–2302.

© World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists 2025. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists, 39-41 North Road London N7 9DP, UK.